26

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
W.P. (S) No. 3816 of 2005

Petitioner		Balmukund Patel, son of Shri Sunder Lal Patel, Age – 40 years, Assistant Teacher, Posted at Govt. Middle School, Sapos, Block – Dabhara, Distt. Janjgeer-Champa.
	Versus	
Respondents	1.	State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
	2.	Director, Public Instructions Chhattisgarh, Pension Bada, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
	3.	District Education Officer, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh.

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri Anupam Dubey, Advocate for the petitioner.
Miss Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 13th day of March, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. The petitioner while working as Assistant Teacher at Middle School, Sapos, Block- Dabhara, District- Janjgir Champa was transferred to Primary School, Senduras, Block - Jaijepur, on the same terms and conditions, vide impugned order dated 10.9.2001 (Annexure A/1).
2. The Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal at Raipur vide interim order dated 25.9.2001 directed to maintain status quo in regard to the position of the petitioner as was prevailing on 18.9.2001. By virtue of the interim order the petitioner is continuing at the original place of posting.
3. Be that as it may, with the passage of time this petition has become infructuous as period of transfer is not applicable forever. At this point of time there is no question to examine the validity of the transfer order. However, the respondents are at liberty to pass appropriate orders keeping in view the public interest and administrative exigency, without insisting on compliance of the impugned transfer order.
4. It is well settled principle of law that transfer is an incident of service and it is for the employer to decide as to where a particular officer/employee be posted, keeping in view public interest as well as administrative exigency. This Court has limited jurisdiction to interfere in the transfer matter and this Court can interfere only in the case of proved malafide, non-competence of authority passing the transfer order and transfer order not being in conformity with the rules and regulations.
5. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Thakur

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge